Results after replantation of avulsed permanent teeth. II. Periodontal healing and the role of physiologic storage and antiresorptive-regenerative therapy


Abstract – The status of the periodontal ligament (PDL) and of the pulp are decisive for the healing of avulsed and replanted teeth. A tooth rescue box was developed and distributed to offer optimal storage conditions for avulsed teeth. The therapy comprised extraoral endodontic treatment and applications of medicaments to enhance periodontal healing. In this long-term clinical study the healing results following avulsion and replantation were investigated. Twenty-eight permanent teeth in 24 patients were evaluated. The extraoral storage media and periods varied considerably. Soon after avulsion six teeth were stored in a cell culture medium (tooth rescue box Dentosafe™) for 1–53 h; the PDL was defined as not compromised. Sixteen teeth were stored in a non-physiologic situation temporarily, the PDL was considered as compromised. Six teeth were stored in non-physiologic conditions for longer periods; the condition of the PDL was defined as hopeless. On 14 teeth antiresorptive-regenerative therapy (ART) with the local application of glucocorticoids and enamel matrix derivative and the systemic administration of doxycyclin was used. In all teeth extraoral endodontic treatment by retrograde insertion of posts was performed. The mean observation period was 31.2 months (±24.1; 5.1–100.2; median: 23.8). All six teeth rescued physiologically healed with a functional PDL (functional healing, FH) irrespective of the storage period. Of eight teeth with a compromised PDL on which ART was used, three teeth healed with a functional PDL. All other teeth showed replacement resorption, in three teeth additionally infection-related resorption was recorded. The predominant influence on the healing results was the immediate physiologic rescue of avulsed teeth (chi-square, \(P = 0.0001\)). The use of ART seemed to support FH (chi-square, \(P = 0.0547\)) in teeth with a compromised PDL. No other factors (maturity of roots, crown fractures, gender, age, antibiotics) were related to healing. In a linear regression analysis physiologic storage \((P = 0.0013)\) and ART \((P = 0.0421)\) were related to FH. For a good prognosis avulsed teeth should be stored immediately.
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Avulsion is a serious injury to teeth. Pulp and periodontal ligament (PDL) tissues are injured; besides, dental hard tissues, alveolar bone and gingiva might be affected. The vessels and nerves of the pulp rupture at the apical foramen, the pulp tissues undergo necrosis. Damage of different origin will result in destroyed tissues and cell death in the PDL. The basic healing processes following plantation are commonly accepted (1–5). Cells with elastic activity resorb the damaged tissues, eventually including the cementum and dentin. In some minorly damaged regions the ruptured peridontal fibers are just rebuilt, in histologic preparations no differences can be recognized compared with a sound PDL (regeneration). When resorption cavities are small, neighboring cementoblasts recolonize the denuded root surface and deposit the cementum in which newly formed periodontal fibers are anchored. This so-called healing-related resorption (formerly named surface resorption) and regeneration represent healing with physiologic function (functional healing, FH). Larger resorption cavities may not be completely covered by the cementoblasts in time. Regenerating alveolar bone comes into contact with the root surface and through physiologic bone remodeling the dentin and cementum are consecutively replaced by bone (replacement resorption/ankylosis, RR). When an establishing endodontic infection is not or not adequately treated infection-related resorption (IRR, formerly named inflammatory resorption) will result. Subtypes of IRR were defined in the first part of the present publication according to timing of occurrence and etiology (6). It was differentiated between an early IRR (e-IRR), a tunneling IRR succeeding a partial RR (t-IRR) and a cervical resorption succeeding a complete RR (cRR-CR).

Dry storage of isolated teeth rapidly leads to cell death in the PDL adhering to the root (for overview, see 7). This process is slowed down by storage in certain media (milk, saline, saliva) but progressive and inevitable and therefore the storage in these conditions is limited to short periods (8–17). Special cell culture media maintain vitality and proliferative capacity of PDL cells for extended periods (15, 18–20). In a clinical study the extraoral condition (immediate replantation, duration of dry and wet storage) as well as the maturity of the roots were significantly related to periodontal healing in multivariate analysis (21).

Limited storage in isotonic solutions prior to plantation of teeth with a moderate damage to the PDL was shown to give equal (22) or even better (7, 23, 24) healing results compared with teeth planted immediately. It was concluded that the interim storage washes off microorganisms and toxic substances deriving from autolysed cells (23, 24). The storage in cell-compatible culture media is said to have a certain reconditioning effect on damaged PDL cells; in animal experiments storage in special cell culture media following a certain dry phase improved periodontal healing after replantation of extracted teeth (20).

In animal experiments tetracycline decreased root resorption when applied topically (25) or systemically (26, 27). This was also demonstrated for glucocorticoids when applied topically but not when administered systemically in animals (28).

Enamel matrix derivative (EMD) (Endogain®, Biora, Malmö, Sweden) was introduced to promote periodontal regeneration (29). In animal experiments extracted teeth were stored dry for 30 min and, after application of EMD, were replanted into their sockets (30) or transplanted to the abdominal wall (31). Compared with teeth planted without EMD histologic results exhibited less root resorption, better healing of resorption cavities and promoted periodontal healing. In a clinical study anklylosed teeth were therapeutically replanted after application of EMD onto the root surface and into the alveolus. During a mean observation period of 15 months a reestablishment of the anklylosis was not observed in teeth that had been anklyosed due to a less severe trauma (32, 33).

A tooth rescue box (Dentosafe®; Dentosafe GmbH, Iserlohn, Germany; EMT Tooth Saver, SmartPractice.com, Phoenix, AZ, USA) was introduced (19, 34) and distributed in schools in parts of Germany and Switzerland and in all schools in Austria. The tooth rescue box contains a tissue culture medium similar to a medium used during islet cell transplantation. Besides different salts the medium also contains amino acids, vitamins, and glucosis. The medium was shown to maintain vitality and proliferative capacity of PDL cells for up to 48 h at room temperature in vitro (18, 19). Due to an added protect medium and a preservative the unopened box has a shelf life of 3 years at room temperature (below 37°C).
The purpose of the study was to evaluate the healing results of avulsed and replanted permanent teeth and to identify parameters that influence healing. Factors already tested in the first part of the present study were also considered (6).

**Material and methods**

Since 1975 avulsed teeth with predictable pulp necrosis were treated following a standard protocol which was adapted to actual knowledge and enhanced possibilities in 1995 and 1998. The basis was and is immediate extraoral endodontic treatment by retrograde insertion of posts with diameters of up to 3 mm, adapted to the diameter of the root (1, 6, 35, 36). Up to 1995, during the extraoral phase, the teeth were kept moist by and stored in sterile physiologic saline. Since 1995 they were stored in the tissue culture medium of the tooth rescue box (Fig. 1). Since 1998 additional treatments were used to depress resorption activities and enhance periodontal regeneration.

Immediately after arrival of the patient the avulsed teeth were stored in the named media. A history was taken comprising the duration and the media of extraoral storage. The patient was thoroughly examined clinically and radiographically (extraoral and intraoral radiographs). Before 1995 already during this examination extraoral endodontic treatment was prepared and started by a second oral surgeon when pulp necrosis was predictable according to the maturity of the root and/or the circumstances during the extraoral phase. Thus replantation was completed within 30–45 min after arrival of the patient. Since 1995 the teeth were stored at least 30 min in the tissue culture medium; replantation as soon as possible after arrival of the patient, during the preparation of the root canal and immediately before the application of a glucocorticoid to the storage medium (see later). The alveolus was rinsed also immediately before replantation.

**Extraoral endodontic treatment**

According to the method described by Kirschner et al. and Kirschner (1, 35, 36) the avulsed teeth were endodontically treated by an extraoral retrograde insertion of posts made of ceramics (Cerasiv, Plochingen, Germany; no longer available) or titanium (RetroPost®; Komet/Gebr. Brasseler, Lemgo, Germany). The method is described in detail in the first part of the present publication (6).

**Intensive rinsing**

For rinsing exclusively sterile isotonic saline was used. The root surface of the avulsed teeth was intensively rinsed throughout the preparation of the root canal by the automatic cooling system. Up to 1998 the root surface was additionally rinsed immediately before replantation. Since 1998 the root surface was rinsed as soon as possible after arrival of the patient, during the preparation of the root canal and immediately before the application of a glucocorticoid to the storage medium (see later). The alveolus was rinsed also immediately before replantation.

**Antiresorptive-regenerative therapy**

Since 1998 the topical and systemic application of different medicaments was used to depress resorption activity and support regeneration in the PDL. After completion of the extraoral endodontic treatment and intense rinsing of the root surface the teeth were stored for about 20 min in the tissue culture medium of the tooth rescue box DentoSafe® to which 40 μg ml⁻¹ dexamethasone had been added. Every few minutes the teeth were shaken gently within this medium. Immediately before replantation – after removal of the coagulum from the alveolus by rinsing with sterile isotonic saline – an EMD (Emdogain®) was applied onto the root surface and into the alveolus. After completion of the treatment doxycyclin was prescribed for systemic use according to the patients’ weight (2 mg kg⁻¹ body weight daily, maximum dose 100 mg day⁻¹) for 5 days.

**Additional remarks**

Macroscopic visible contamination of the teeth was removed by an intense and sharp flow of saline. In
single cases larger attached pieces of dirt were carefully removed with small forceps. In no case the root surface was rubbed or otherwise touched. However, contamination or success of cleansing were not recorded.

In no case the root surface was chemically treated (i.e. application of fluoride). Fractures or infractions of the alveolus were not regularly noted.

Immediately before replantation the blood clot in the alveolus was carefully removed, and the alveolus was intensively rinsed with sterile isotonic saline.

Classification of postoperative healing

According to clinical and radiographic examinations the healing was classified as FH, RR or IRR. Subtypes of IRR were classified as e-IRR, t-IRR, and cRR-CR according to the different etiology and timing of occurrence as proposed in the first part of the present study (6).

Results

All 28 avulsed and replanted teeth were evaluated (6). The mean age of the 24 patients was 10.3 years (±2.6, 7.1–17.3, median: 9.7). Except one lateral upper incisor exclusively central upper incisors were involved. Data for the individual teeth are given in Table 1.

The extraoral dry time was 3–150 min. Following the initial dry storage 16 teeth were stored in wet but non-physiologic conditions (saline, milk, Ringer) for 30–120 min, eight teeth were stored in physiologic conditions (tooth rescue box) for 30–3180 min. Following the interim storage in non-physiologic media nine teeth were stored in the tooth rescue box for 55–2460 min. In the treatment phase nine teeth were stored in saline, 19 teeth were stored in the medium of the tooth rescue box. The exact duration of the storage during the treatment phase was not recorded and was set to 45 min for the statistics. This represents typical values but may differ for about 15 min.

In total, non-physiologic storage duration (rescue and treatment phase) was 3–255 min, the physiologic storage duration (medium of the tooth rescue box) was 45–3225 min (0.75–53.75 h). The total extraoral time varied between 85 and 3235 min (1.4–53.9 h).

Nine teeth exhibited FH while 19 teeth showed RR. Of these 19 teeth three exhibited radiolucent areas in the cervical region (two teeth) or along the post (one tooth). The diagnosis was set to IRR, subtypes were cRR-CR and t-IRR. No early IRR was recorded (6). The mean observation period was 31.2 months (±24.1; 5.1–100.2; median: 23.8). The mean observation period for teeth exhibiting FH was shorter than that for teeth showing healing complications (23.1 and 35.0 months).

Ten teeth were replanted without an interim storage in the medium of the tooth rescue box or the use of ART (nothing). Four teeth were replanted after an interim storage in the medium of the tooth rescue box without the use of ART (box). Fourteen teeth were replanted after interim storage in the medium of the tooth rescue box and with the use of ART.

For further analysis the condition of the PDL at the time of placement in the tooth rescue box was defined as not compromised, when the teeth were stored dry for less than 15 min and/or stored in a non-physiologic wet medium for less than 30 min. The PDL was classified as compromised when the dry storage was between 15 and 60 min and/or the non-physiologic wet storage was between 30 and 120 min. The condition of the PDL was defined hopeless when the extraoral time exceeded 60 min of dry and/or 120 min of non-physiologic wet storage. Thus six teeth were classified as not compromised, 16 as compromised and six as hopeless (Fig. 2). The used classification showed a high and significant correlation with the healing results (chi-square, \(P = 0.0002\)).

All six teeth with a not compromised PDL (= immediate physiologic storage) showed FH, on four of them ART was used. The storage duration in the medium of the rescue box varied between 1 and 53 h.

Six teeth were stored in milk following an initial dry phase. In four cases the dry phase was up to 15 min and the storage in milk was 30–60 min. One tooth exhibited FH, two teeth showed RR and one tooth cRR-CR (Fig. 2).

Of the 16 teeth with a PDL defined as compromised 13 teeth showed complications and three teeth demonstrated FH. On eight of these 16 teeth ART was used. Five of these eight teeth showed RR while three teeth exhibited FH. Teeth showing IRR were not treated by ART. The non-physiologic extraoral phase of teeth treated with ART did not exceed 90 min of dry and 120 min of non-physiologic wet storage.

In the chi-square test immediate physiologic storage was closely related to periodontal healing (all cases included, FH vs. complication: \(P = 0.0001\), FH vs. RR vs. IRR: \(P = 0.0003\)). The influence of ART on periodontal healing was at the borderline of significance (FH vs. complication, all cases: \(P = 0.0430\), compromised PDL: \(P = 0.0547\)). All other factors (maturity of roots, crown fractures, gender, age, use of antibiotics) were not related to periodontal healing (chi-square, \(P > 0.1\)) (Table 2).

All parameters that showed a significant relationship to healing in the chi-square tests
Table 1. Avulsion of permanent incisors in growing patients and replantation following immediate extraoral endodontic treatment (retrograde insertion of posts). Patient-, rescue- and treatment related factors and healing results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Patient Age (years)</th>
<th>Tooth Antib</th>
<th>Crown Trac.</th>
<th>Rescue Treatment</th>
<th>Total extraoral storage</th>
<th>PDL cond.</th>
<th>ART</th>
<th>Healing</th>
<th>Observ. (months)</th>
<th>Removal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>60 Dry Box 0 150</td>
<td>Box 0</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>c Box RR</td>
<td>22.0 x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Dentin</td>
<td>10 Milk Box 60 0</td>
<td>Box 60</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>c ART RR</td>
<td>31.7 in situ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3 Box 0 60</td>
<td>Box 0</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>nc Box func</td>
<td>62.1 in situ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>40 Nein 0 0 Saline</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>c No RR</td>
<td>100.2 in situ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Dentin</td>
<td>30 Saline 30 0</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>c No RR</td>
<td>30.9 x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Dentin</td>
<td>30 Saline 30 0</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>c No RR</td>
<td>30.9 in situ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Dentin</td>
<td>15 Ringer 60 0</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>c Box t-IRR</td>
<td>44.6 x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>60 Saline 120 2460</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>2505</td>
<td>2685</td>
<td>c ART RR</td>
<td>22.6 in situ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>15 Milk 60 720</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>c ART func</td>
<td>17.8 in situ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Enamel</td>
<td>15 Milk 60 720</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>840</td>
<td>c ART RR</td>
<td>17.8 in situ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>120 Nein 0 0 Saline</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>h No RR</td>
<td>24.1 x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>45 Box 0 30</td>
<td>Box 0</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>c ART func</td>
<td>6.6 in situ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Dentin</td>
<td>60 Saline 45 840</td>
<td>Box 45</td>
<td>885</td>
<td>990</td>
<td>c ART func</td>
<td>21.3 in situ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Dentin</td>
<td>65 Nein 0 0 Saline</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>h No RR</td>
<td>89.6 x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pulp</td>
<td>15 Saline 30 840</td>
<td>Box 30</td>
<td>885</td>
<td>930</td>
<td>nc ART func</td>
<td>37.1 in situ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>150 Saline 60 0</td>
<td>Saline 105</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>h No cRR-CR</td>
<td>72.5 in situ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>60 Box 0 720</td>
<td>Box 0</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>c Box RR</td>
<td>25.2 in situ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12.2</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10 Box 0 3180</td>
<td>Box 0</td>
<td>3225</td>
<td>3235</td>
<td>nc ART func</td>
<td>16.6 in situ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Enamel</td>
<td>30 Ringer 60 0</td>
<td>Saline 105</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>c No RR</td>
<td>53.4 x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Dentin</td>
<td>10 Milk 30 0 Saline</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>c No cRR-CR</td>
<td>31.5 x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>90 Milk 30 1290</td>
<td>Box 30</td>
<td>1335</td>
<td>1455</td>
<td>h ART RR</td>
<td>5.1 x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>90 Milk 30 1290</td>
<td>Box 30</td>
<td>1335</td>
<td>1455</td>
<td>h ART RR</td>
<td>5.1 x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>10 Plast. foil 90 55</td>
<td>Box 90</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>c ART RR</td>
<td>23.4 in situ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>5 Box 0 210</td>
<td>Box 0</td>
<td>255</td>
<td>260</td>
<td>nc No func</td>
<td>12.4 in situ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>11 No 61 Desinf. 45 0</td>
<td>Saline 90</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>151</td>
<td>h No RR</td>
<td>10.3 in situ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>60 Saline 120 720</td>
<td>Box 120</td>
<td>765</td>
<td>945</td>
<td>c ART RR</td>
<td>24.1 x</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Dentin</td>
<td>3 Box 0 60</td>
<td>Box 0</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>nc ART func</td>
<td>16.9 in situ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13.3</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3 Box 0 60</td>
<td>Box 0</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>105</td>
<td>nc ART func</td>
<td>16.9 in situ</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PDL cond., PDL condition; nc, not compromised; c, compromised; h, hopeless. ART, antiresorptive-regenerative therapy; func, functional healing; RR, replacement resorption; t-IRR, tunneling infection-related resorption; cRR-CR, complete replacement resorption, followed by cervical resorption. X, extraction/removal.
Immediate physiologic rescue ($P = 0.0013$) and ART ($P = 0.0421$) were factors with a significant influence on healing (FH vs. complication).

**Discussion**

The prognosis of avulsed and replanted teeth is dependent on the periodontal healing which is influenced by the condition of the PDL tissues. Damage of the PDL of avulsed teeth may derive from direct mechanical trauma (contusion between tooth and bone), from the storage conditions (dry, non-physiologic media), from the non-optimal situation after replantation due to supply and disposal exclusively by diffusion, from attached microorganisms or other contaminations and from toxic substances released from autolysed cells.

The prerequisite for FH is the absence of infection. Early infection-related complications derive from an infected pulp space and – if untreated – will result in early tooth loss. As an important part of our concept in the treatment of isolated teeth with predictable pulp necrosis extraoral endodontic treatment with the retrograde insertion of posts is used to prevent an endodontic infection and therefore to minimize IRR. This was discussed in the first part of the present publication (6).

Besides the secure and successful endodontic treatment our actual concept concentrated on the prevention of RR. This comprised the physiologic rescue of avulsed teeth, the washing off of toxic substances and bacteria by intensive and repeated rinsing of the root surface, the reconditioning of PDL cells by interim storage in a cell-compatible medium and an attempt of antiresorptive, antiosteoblastic and PDL regeneration promoting therapy (ART). Short and non-rigid splinting was a prerequisite.

The predominant influence on PDL healing was the cell-compatible storage in the tooth rescue box soon after avulsion of a tooth. All of the six teeth rescued within 3–15 min exhibited FH free of complications, irrespective of the storage duration that was up to 53 h. This is in accordance with results from in vitro investigations on this medium: as demonstrated by autoradiography and in cell cultures the medium maintained vitality and proliferative capacity of PDL cells (cementoblasts, fibroblasts) at room temperature for at least 48 h (18, 19). Comparable media gave similar results in animal experiments (15, 20).

According to the successful ‘long-term’ storage it seems recommendable to temporarily store teeth rescued in non-physiologic conditions in an optimal cell-compatible medium to use a possible recondi-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Cross tabulation of all recorded parameters vs. healing results. $P$-values of chi-square and linear regression statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FH – complication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All data ($n = 28$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chi-square</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter, sealer, surgeon, surgical experience</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phys–unphys storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART – no ART</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maturity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, gender, tooth position, antibiosis, crown fractures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phys–unphys storage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ART – no ART</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Post material</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FH, functional healing; RR, replacement resorption; IRR, infection-related resorption; phys, physiologic storage; unphys, unphysiologic storage; ART, antiresorptive-regenerative therapy.
tioning effect before the cells are brought into the non-optimal conditions of a coagulum and a nutrition situation that is restricted to diffusion. To avoid a diffusion barrier during the extraoral storage the teeth should gently be shaken every few minutes within the medium. This storage method also contributes to washing off bacteria and toxic breakdown products from cell death which lead to worse results when left (7, 18, 23, 24). Repeated rinsing of the root surface during extraoral endodontic treatment might wash off more intensively these deleterious metabolites and microorganisms than passive soaking, thus enhancing healing. All three teeth with a compromised PDL that were stored in the medium of the tooth rescue box – and without the use of ART – exhibited non-functional healing. Thus a ‘reconditioning effect’ (7, 18, 23, 24) could not be demonstrated with the present material. However, the non-physiologic periods of the cases in the present study were much longer (i.e. 60 min dry) than in other studies (20–40 min dry). After 60 min dry storage most cells are not only damaged but non-vital, and therefore they cannot be recovered.

The use of ART comprises a combination of different treatment strategies. The local application of a glucocorticoid decreased the resorptive activity in an animal experiment and enhanced periodontal healing (28). This has also been shown for the systemic and local application of tetracyclines in animals (25, 26). With the use of EMD less resorptions and better periodontal healing were observed in planted teeth in animal experiments (30, 31). The combined use of these substances has not been described to date. It is not known whether or not there might be synergistic effects – or the contrary. At least no healing complications were observed in the present study when physiologically rescued teeth were treated with this approach.

Except two cases the non-physiologic extraoral phase of teeth treated with ART did not exceed 60 min of dry and 120 min of non-physiologic wet storage. A good distribution of the tooth rescue box in the surroundings of our clinic obviously resulted in a rescue in physiologic conditions following a limited non-physiologic storage. Therefore, data on the usability of ART in cases with a definitely non-vital PDL are rare. According to the mechanisms of glucocorticoids and doxycyclin the establishment of a functional periodontium is impossible when all specialized cells are non-vital. Although the use of EMD reduced resorptions in replanted teeth and enhanced PDL healing (30, 31) it has not been shown to date that EMD could induce a functional periodontium in situations with a complete non-vital PDL. When damaged and ankylosed teeth were extracted and replanted after extraoral insertion of posts and application of EMD teeth with minor traumas and therefore supposedly minor ankylytic areas regained a functional PDL while teeth with a severely damaged PDL reankylosed after some months (32, 33). Obviously a minimal population of vital specialized PDL cells, i.e. cementoblasts and fibroblasts, is a prerequisite for FH. A differentiation or a differentiation in sufficient numbers of pluripotent precursor cells toward cementoblasts cannot be expected in severely traumatized teeth by used medicaments. The use of ART in teeth with a completely non-vital PDL is experimental and will not be successful in supporting FH: despite the use of ART in teeth which were stored for more than 60 min dry and/or non-physiologically wet all these teeth ankylosed.

In some cases teeth with extended but limited non-physiologic extraoral storage (compromised PDL) exhibited FH when ART was used. Most probably a sufficient number of cementoblasts and fibroblasts had survived. Healing was supported by ART according to the chi-square test. However, the case number is low and the storage conditions of the individual teeth showed great variations. Nevertheless, the use of ART in these cases may be helpful.

Of the six teeth rescued immediately in physiologic conditions two teeth were replanted without, four teeth were replanted with ART. None of these teeth ankylosed. From these few cases it cannot be decided whether or not ART should be used in cases with physiologic rescue.

Healing following interim storage in media such as milk, saline and salt solution (Ringer) was not predictable even when ART was used. It was disappointing that even relatively short storages in milk for up to 1 h and following a relatively short dry phase resulted in RR in three of four cases. Media like milk or saline should not be considered ‘physiologic’; death of PDL cells stored in these media is slowed down compared with dry storage but progressive and inevitable (8–17).

In a clinical study immature teeth showed significantly better ‘periodontal healing’ (=FH) than mature teeth. However, the incidence of IRR was higher in immature teeth (21, 37). In the present study no correlation could be found between the maturity of roots and FH. This may be explained by the lower case number and a negative preselection: Until recently, immature teeth were only subjected to the described treatment (i.e. extraoral endodontic treatment) – and therefore included into the present study – when they were rescued in a non-physiologic way. Otherwise they were replanted without endodontic treatment expecting revascularization. However,
we decided to also treat immature teeth that were rescued in a physiologic way by immediate extraoral insertion of posts to prevent any endodontic-related complications (6). In the present study the rate of IRR was equally low in mature and immature teeth that is in contrast to a previous study (37). This can be explained by the different endodontic treatment approaches (6).

No relations to healing were found for gender, age, antibiotics, and crown fractures. This is in close correlation with published findings (21). However, in the present study a (single) case with pulp exposure due to crown fracture was included while in another study these complications were excluded (37).

The existence of vital PDL cells with proliferative capacity is decisive for periodontal healing following replantation. The use of the tooth rescue box containing a special cell culture medium has proved successful in storing avulsed teeth for up to 53 h. This enables delayed replantations in cases of more serious injuries (polytrauma) which require immediate medical care to prevent and treat situations endangering life. The box contains a preservative to minimize bacterial growth. However, a rescued tooth should be put into a new tooth rescue box after about 24 h when longer storage periods are necessary. Avulsed teeth need not be replanted as soon as possible after the arrival of the patient at the dentist. Careful examinations, including radiographs, can be carried out. The replantation procedure can even be planned according to patient’s and dentist’s needs. Data are scarce as regards whether there are minimum or maximum storage periods and whether a certain storage period would give superior results. Easily available the tooth rescue box can also be used during interventions such as intentional replantation or transplantation of teeth.

It is of interest that all teeth were rescued within some minutes in the tooth rescue box when it was available near the accident. The simple purchase of the box obviously had a high remembrance and educational potential. This resulted in immediate and correct reaction without any training of the lay persons. No telephone calls to dentists were necessary. Thus valuable minutes could be spared. The probability of FHI was just 45% when mature teeth were replanted between 5 and 10 min after avulsion and decreased rapidly with time (21). Furthermore, teeth are usually not replanted at the site of the accident (38). It is important to distribute tooth rescue boxes at locations with a high risk of traumatic tooth injuries (schools, kindergartens, public pools, sporting facilities), at emergency units (ambulances, hospitals, physicians, dentists) and in families with children.

Conclusion

All teeth rescued in physiologic conditions shortly after avulsion healed in with physiologic function. Extrar oral endodontic treatment and the use of ART (topically Glucocorticoides and Emdogain®), systemically Doxycclycin® did not result in complications in these cases. There are hints that ART might promote healing in teeth with an extraoral nonphysiologic storage of limited duration. Immediate physiologic storage of avulsed teeth is of utmost importance for periodontal healing following replantation. The tooth rescue box should be widely available in first aid kits in schools, kindergartens, public pools, sporting facilities as well as in emergency facilities, pharmacies, at physicians and dentists and in families with children to allow a short reaction time after avulsion which is crucial for successful replantation.
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